



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Vacuum Assisted Acidification: A Novel, Robust and Accurate Technique for the Measurement of CO₂ Loading in Solvents and its Application in Post Combustion Capture

Citation for published version:

Buschle, B, Davidson, M, Lucquiaud, M & Gibbins, J 2014, 'Vacuum Assisted Acidification: A Novel, Robust and Accurate Technique for the Measurement of CO₂ Loading in Solvents and its Application in Post Combustion Capture', *Energy Procedia*, vol. 63, pp. 1811–1817.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.188>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.188](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.188)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Energy Procedia

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



GHGT-12

Vacuum assisted acidification: A novel, robust and accurate technique for the measurement of CO₂ loading in solvents and its application in post combustion capture

Bill Buschle^a, J Michael Davidson^a, Mathieu Lucquiaud^a, Jon Gibbins^{a*}

^a*School of Engineering and Electronics, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JL, United Kingdom*

Abstract

A method for measuring the CO₂ loading of post combustion capture solvents has been developed which first separates CO₂ from the solvent by acidification of the solvent under vacuum conditions, then traps the CO₂ via deposition, and finally quantifies the CO₂ by pressure measurement in a calibrated volume. A preliminary comparative assessment shows that the measurement accuracy and precision of the method compares favorably to other methods currently used at post combustion capture research facilities and that there is potential for continuing development of the method for use in industrial field applications.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of GHGT-12

Keywords: CCS, CO₂, Post Combustion, CO₂ loading measurement, Solvent Analysis

1. Background

The accurate determination of CO₂ loading in amine solvents is critically important for post combustion capture (PCC) plant process control, plant optimisation, and economic operation. The ideal CO₂ loading measurement method is required to have several characteristics to be fit for use at PCC industrial scale. First, the method must be sufficiently accurate to enable effective plant optimisation and control. Second, the method must be robust to operate effectively in the field for long periods of time and require minimal maintenance and operator intervention. Third, the method must be versatile and capable of analysing solvents and sorbents over a range of CO₂ loadings

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-131-650-7444.
E-mail address: Bill.Buschle@ed.ac.uk

with a variety of chemistries in addition to maintaining its measurement performance despite the presence of solvent degradation products and heat stable salts. Fourth, the method must have a sufficiently fast measurement time to incorporate into various automated process control loops used during plant operation. Finally, the method should be economical with respect to initial capital cost and the long term operational costs of the equipment.

A family of titration analysis methods has been developed for CO₂ loading measurement. They analyse the CO₂ present in the solvent by the addition of a base to then measure both the pH [1] and the conductivity [2] of the solution. Additional methods dissolve the sample in methanol prior to titration for better accuracy [3]. Other titration methods precipitate the CO₂ from the solution prior to titration analysis [4, 5, 6]. A second family of analysis methods separates the CO₂ from the solution by excess acid addition and quantify the CO₂ by measuring physical gas displacement [7], sweeping the CO₂ through an IR detector [8, 9], or sweeping through a standard caustic solution [10]. A third family of analysis methods based on spectroscopy measures CO₂ loading by identifying and quantifying the CO₂ salt species in-situ by FTIR [11, 12, 13], Raman IR [14, 15], and NMR [16, 17, 18]. While all these methods are effective they may not sufficiently meet the combination of five ideal criteria described previously, which would make them the routine CO₂ loading measurement technique for full-scale post combustion capture applications.

A new method for CO₂ loading measurement of amine solvents is presented here, which separates CO₂ from the solvent sample by the addition of excess acid under vacuum conditions, and then collects the CO₂ via deposition in a gas sample chamber. The method is similar to a calibration standard method used for determining the inorganic carbon concentration in seawater [19, 20]. The recovery of CO₂ is further enhanced by iteratively degassing the solvent under vacuum conditions and the CO₂ quantification is enhanced by measuring the separated CO₂ into a calibrated volume container using a high accuracy pressure transducer rather than a gas displacement manometer.

2. Experimental

2.1. Experimental Components

The acid burette, sample chamber, vapour trap, gas sampling chamber, and transfer lines were all constructed from borosilicate glass. Threaded Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tap valves with integrated O-rings are used to isolate each piece of equipment under vacuum conditions. The vacuum pump is manufactured by Edwards Pumps. The pressure transducer is a Baratron capacitance manometer manufactured by MKS Instruments and has a calibrated range of 760.0 Torr with a measurement uncertainty of 0.1 Torr.

2.2. Experimental Set-up and Procedure

A schematic sequential diagram of the method procedure is illustrated in Figure 1. The method begins by injecting a known mass of solvent sample into the sample chamber via pipette (Figure 1a). The sample is frozen to approximately -32°C using a chilled acetone solution in order to prevent water and amine vapour from transferring to the gas sampling chamber. The gas sampling chamber, vapour trap, and sample chamber are then evacuated with the vacuum pump. The gas sampling chamber is then cooled to -196°C with liquid nitrogen and the vapour trap is cooled -78°C with dry ice (Figure 1b). The gas sampling chamber, vapour trap, and sample chamber are isolated from the vacuum pump and from each other and the solvent is allowed to thaw to ambient conditions.

2.4 M HNO₃ aqueous acid is then added in excess to the sample chamber (~5 mol acid / 1 mol amine) from the acid burette to facilitate CO₂ gas separation from the solvent sample into the evacuated sample chamber head space. A Teflon coated magnetic stir bar is used to agitate the sample during CO₂ separation (Figure 1c). After gaseous CO₂ has separated from the solvent sample, the liquid in the bottom of the sample chamber is again frozen to approximately -32°C in order to prevent water and amine vapour from transferring to the gas sampling chamber. The isolation valves between the gas sampling chamber, vapour trap, and sample chamber are then opened. The CO₂ gas separated from the solution passes from the sample chamber head space through the vapour trap to freeze any residual moisture and finally deposits into the gas sampling chamber as a CO₂ solid. Residual gasses that have not deposited in the gas sampling chamber (O₂, N₂, Ar, etc.) are then pumped from the system using the vacuum pump (Figure 1d).




Figure 1(a)-(i): Process Steps of the Vacuum Assisted Acidification Method for the CO₂ Loading Measurement of Carbon Capture Solvents

The gas sampling chamber, vapour trap, and sample chamber are isolated again from the vacuum pump and from each other. The chilled acetone solution is removed allowing the solvent sample to thaw, promoting further CO₂ gas separation (Figure 1e). The process of freezing the acidified solvent sample, transferring and depositing the separated CO₂ gas and pumping away the non-deposited residual gasses is repeated twice more for a total of three CO₂ gas transfers (Figures 1f - 1h). The gas sampling chamber is isolated from the vapour trap and vacuum pump, the liquid nitrogen coolant is removed from the gas sampling chamber, and the deposited CO₂ solid in the gas sampling chamber is allowed to sublime as the chamber returns to ambient temperature. The CO₂ gas present is quantified using the ideal gas law by measuring the pressure in the gas sampling chamber with a high accuracy pressure transducer and the ambient temperature using a mercury thermometer (Figure 1i).

3. Determination of Method Accuracy and Precision

In order to determine the method's accuracy and precision, six analytical powder samples of CaCO₃ (purity 99.995%) were analysed for CO₂ loading. Each powder sample with masses between 0.214g – 0.323g was dried in a convection oven at 120°C for ~30 minutes to drive off residual moisture then weighed with an analytical balance prior to being added to the sample chamber. The CO₂ loading as measured by the vacuum assisted acidification method was compared to the expected CO₂ loading value. The measurements were also performed in duplicate with identical mass samples to determine the precision of the method (Figure 2).




Figure 2: CO₂ loading results of three duplicate sets of CaCO₃ powder as measured by the vacuum assisted acidification method. The results are plotted as the % relative deviation from the expected amount of CO₂ present for each CaCO₃ powder sample. The % relative uncertainty (0.310% - 0.467%) in the amount of CO₂ present is calculated from sample mass uncertainty (+/- 0.001 g) and sample purity uncertainty (+/- 0.005% purity) and shown with a solid bar on the x-axis for each sample set. The average result of the sample sets is also plotted with error bars corresponding to the method's measurement uncertainty calculated using an error propagation calculation.

The vacuum assisted acidification method shows an average measurement accuracy of 0.971% relative to the expected value when measuring the analytical standard CaCO₃ powder with the maximum measurement deviation being 1.96% relative to the expected value and the minimum measurement deviation being 0.006% relative to the expected value. The average measurement uncertainty of the method was determined to be 1.34% relative to the expected value using a compounding error calculation. The method demonstrated reasonable repeatability with the duplicate pairs deviating from each other on average by 0.932% relative to the expected value and all six measurements falling within the measurement uncertainty range. The initial accuracy and precision results for analytical CaCO₃ powder samples demonstrated the potential for a highly accurate and precise method compared to current techniques and a second study was performed on a more realistic loaded MEA solution.

4. Comparison to industry standard CO₂ loading measurement methods – An MEA solvent analysis example

4.1. Laboratory Based CO₂ Loading Procedure of MEA solvent

In order to demonstrate the accuracy and precision of the vacuum assisted acidification method in an applied PCC context, a laboratory made CO₂ loaded solvent sample was created using a new method, which minimises CO₂ transfer between the solvent and the ambient atmosphere prior to analysis (Figure 3). A 30.00 wt% MEA (uncertainty: +/- 0.16 wt% MEA) solution was prepared by weight using neat MEA (purity 99%) and degassed deionised water on an analytical balance. A sample of this unloaded solvent with a known mass was injected via septum into a glass bulb with a known mass of pure CO₂ gas. The unloaded MEA solvent was allowed to absorb the CO₂ inside the sample vessel achieving a CO₂ loading of 0.4639 mol CO₂ / mol MEA (uncertainty: +/- 0.0048 mol CO₂ / mol MEA). As the water was thoroughly degassed prior to use and the neat MEA chemical was kept with care in a sealed container prior to use, the residual CO₂ in the unloaded solvent sample prior to injection is presumed to be negligible. Based on previously published equilibrium equations [21] and previous equilibrium studies [22] it is assumed that the CO₂ partial pressure of this loaded MEA solution at 25°C is negligible and therefore all CO₂ present is assumed to absorb into the solution.




Figure 3: A schematic of a method for the CO₂ loading of a solvent sample. The method first evacuates a sample vessel with a vacuum pump, tares the vessel, then fills the vessel with pure CO₂ gas and measures the mass of CO₂ on a mass balance. A solvent sample of a known mass is injected into the sample vessel via syringe and allowed to absorb the CO₂ gas in the vessel and cool to room temperature prior to removal and analysis. The method significantly reduces possible occurrence of CO₂ transfer between the solvent and the ambient atmosphere.

4.2. Comparison to Industry Standard CO₂ Loading Measurement Methods

The CO₂ loading of the MEA solvent solution was measured by vacuum assisted acid separation in duplicate and compared to the expected CO₂ loading value (Figure 4). For comparison, the accuracy and precision results of 3 other industry standard CO₂ loading measurement methods used at industrial research facilities [23, 24]. These methods were used to analyse similar lab made MEA solvents loaded with CO₂ from a 30wt% MEA stock. The method used to load CO₂ into these solutions [9] differs slightly from the method outlined in this work and the specific CO₂ loadings of the MEA solutions analysed were 0.2530 mol CO₂ / mol MEA for end point titration, 0.5200 mol CO₂ / mol MEA for the inorganic carbon, 0.4586 mol CO₂ / mol MEA for Chittick gas displacement.




Figure 4: A comparison of measurement accuracy and precision for the vacuum assisted acidification method and three other industry standard CO₂ loading measurement methods used in the field at industrial PCC research facilities [23, 24]. The average deviation from the expected CO₂ loading value (mol CO₂ / mol MEA) for laboratory made loaded MEA solvents is plotted for each measurement method. For the vacuum assisted acidification method, the CO₂ loading uncertainty of the lab made sample is plotted on the x-axis with a solid bar. This information was not available for the other measurement methods. The error bars represent the spread of repeated measurements and give an indication of the precision of the methods.

The vacuum assisted acidification procedure shows an average measurement accuracy of 0.0094 mol CO₂ / mol MEA relative to the expected value of 0.4639 mol CO₂ / mol MEA when measuring the loaded MEA solvent solution. The maximum measurement deviation is 0.0114 mol CO₂ / mol MEA relative to the expected value and the minimum measurement deviation is 0.0077 mol CO₂ / mol MEA relative to the expected value. The average measurement uncertainty of the method was determined to be ± 0.0048 mol CO₂ / mol MEA relative to the expected value again using a compounding error calculation. The method again demonstrated reasonable repeatability with the duplicate pair deviating from each other by 0.0037 mol CO₂ / mol MEA relative to the expected value and again fell within the measurement uncertainty range.

The vacuum assisted acidification method appears to demonstrate superior accuracy and precision in practice compared to the Chittick gas displacement method [25] and the total inorganic carbon analysis methods [8]. It also appears to demonstrate similar or slightly superior accuracy and precision compared to the high precision auto-titration based technique [3]. The vacuum assisted acidification method also may have a competitive advantage compared to the titration based technique by design, as it should not suffer significant performance losses observed with titration based methods when analysing complex blended solvents contaminated with inorganic cations and weak acid anions which form heat stable salts [26].

5. Conclusions

The superior measurement accuracy and precision, the ability to measure loadings of complex contaminated solvents, and the potential for process optimisation and automation suggests that the vacuum assisted acidification CO₂ loading measurement method may be able to provide the accuracy, robustness, versatility, speed, and low cost required for use in industrial PCC applications. Future work will focus on determining the method's performance on complex pilot plant samples compared to state-of-the-art methods. Parametric studies will then be conducted to increase measurement accuracy and reduce method complexity and measurement time.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Energy Technology Partnership Scotland, the Edinburgh Research Partnership in Engineering and Mathematics, and the CCPilot100+ project collaborators: SSE, Vattenfall AB, and Doosan Power Systems for their financial support. The authors are also grateful for the collaboration and knowledge transfer from the technical staff at Doosan Power Systems in Renfrew, Scotland UK as well as the knowledge transfer, collaboration, and hospitality of the technical staff at the National Carbon Capture Center in Wilsonville, Alabama USA.

References

- [1] Haji-Sulaiman, M. Z., Aroua, M. K., & Benamor, A. (1998). Analysis of Equilibrium Data of CO₂ in Aqueous Solutions of Diethanolamine (DEA), Methyl-diethanolamine (MDEA) and Their Mixtures Using the Modified Kent Eisenberg Model. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 76(8), 961-968.
- [2] Cummings, A. L., Veatch, F. C., Keller, A. E., Mecum, S. M., & Kammiller, R. M. (1990, July). An Analytical Method for Determining Bound and Free Alkanolamines in Heat Stable Salt Contaminated Solutions. In *AIChE 1990 Summer National Meeting, August* (Vol. 21).
- [3] WonderBF1969 – Wonder D. K., et al. An Approach to Monoethanolamine Solution Control: Chemical Analysis and its Interpretation. In *Proceedings of the Laurance Reid Gas Conditioning Conference, 1959*.
- [4] Weiland, R. H., & Trass, O. (1969). Titrimetric determination of acid gases in alkali hydroxides and amines. *Analytical Chemistry*, 41(12), 1709-1710.
- [5] Shen, K. P., & Li, M. H. (1992). Solubility of carbon dioxide in aqueous mixtures of monoethanolamine with methyl-diethanolamine. *Journal of chemical and Engineering Data*, 37(1), 96-100.
- [6] Isaacs, E. E., Otto, F. D., & Mather, A. E. (1980). Solubility of mixtures of hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide in a monoethanolamine solution at low partial pressures. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 25(2), 118-120.
- [7] Lee, J. I., Otto, F. D., & Mather, A. E. (1972). Solubility of carbon dioxide in aqueous diethanolamine solutions at high pressures. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 17(4), 465-468.
- [8] Goyet, C., & Snover, A. K. (1993). High-accuracy measurements of total dissolved inorganic carbon in the ocean: comparison of alternate detection methods. *Marine chemistry*, 44(2), 235-242.
- [9] Hilliard, M. D. (2008). A predictive thermodynamic model for an aqueous blend of potassium carbonate, piperazine, and monoethanolamine for carbon dioxide capture from flue gas.
- [10] Snyder, J. D., & Trofymow, J. A. (1984). A rapid accurate wet oxidation diffusion procedure for determining organic and inorganic carbon in plant and soil samples. *Communications in Soil Science & Plant Analysis*, 15(5), 587-597.
- [11] Diab, F., Provost, E., Laloué, N., Alix, P., Souchon, V., Delpoux, O., & Fürst, W. (2012). Quantitative analysis of the liquid phase by FT-IR spectroscopy in the system CO₂/diethanolamine (DEA)/H₂O. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 325, 90-99.
- [12] Jackson, P., Robinson, K., Puxty, G., & Attalla, M. (2009). In situ Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) analysis of carbon dioxide absorption and desorption in amine solutions. *Energy Procedia*, 1(1), 985-994.
- [13] Richner, G., & Puxty, G. (2012). Assessing the chemical speciation during CO₂ absorption by aqueous amines using in situ FTIR. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 51(44), 14317-14324.
- [14] Souchon, V., Aleixo, M. D. O., Delpoux, O., Sagnard, C., Mougin, P., Wender, A., & Raynal, L. (2011). In situ determination of species distribution in alkanolamine-H₂O-CO₂ systems by Raman spectroscopy. *Energy Procedia*, 4, 554-561.
- [15] Vogt, M., Pasel, C., & Bathen, D. (2011). Characterisation of CO₂ absorption in various solvents for PCC applications by Raman spectroscopy. *Energy Procedia*, 4, 1520-1525.
- [16] Yang, Q., Bown, M., Ali, A., Winkler, D., Puxty, G., & Attalla, M. (2009). A carbon-13 NMR study of carbon dioxide absorption and desorption with aqueous amine solutions. *Energy Procedia*, 1(1), 955-962.
- [17] Böttinger, W., Maiwald, M., & Hasse, H. (2008). Online NMR spectroscopic study of species distribution in MEA-H₂O-CO₂ and DEA-H₂O-CO₂. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 263(2), 131-143.
- [18] Maiwald, M., Fischer, H. H., Kim, Y. K., Albert, K., & Hasse, H. (2004). Quantitative high-resolution on-line NMR spectroscopy in reaction and process monitoring. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 166(2), 135-146.
- [19] Guenther, P. R., Keeling, C. D., & Emanuele, G. (1994). *Oceanic CO₂ measurements for the WOCE Hydrographic Survey in the Pacific Ocean, 1990-1991: Shore based analyses* (No. 94). Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego.
- [20] Dickson A. G. Standards for ocean measurements. *Oceanography* 23 (3), pp. 34-47.
- [21] Matin, N. S., Remias, J. E., Neathery, J. K., & Liu, K. (2013). The equilibrium solubility of carbon dioxide in aqueous solutions of morpholine: Experimental data and thermodynamic modeling. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 52(14), 5221-5229.
- [22] Jou, F. Y., Mather, A. E., & Otto, F. D. (1995). The solubility of CO₂ in a 30 mass percent monoethanolamine solution. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 73(1), 140-147.
- [23] Carey, J., Damen, K., Fitzgerald, F. D., & Gardiner, R. A. (2013). CCPilot100+ Operating Experience and Test Results. *Energy Procedia*, 37, 6170-6178.
- [24] Northington, J., Morton, F., & Yongue, R. (2012, October). Advanced Technology Testing at the National Carbon Capture Center. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Pittsburgh Coal Conference*.
- [25] Ji, L., Miksche, S. J., Rimpf, L. M., & Farthing, G. A. (2009, May). CO₂ chemical solvent screening. In *8th Annual Conference on Carbon Conference and Sequestration. Pittsburgh, PA, USA* (pp. 4-7).
- [26] Cummings, A. L., & Smith, G. D. (2010). Better alkanolamine system operations through chemical analysis. In *The Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, CO, USA*